Applicability:
This guidance applies when planning concentrated livestock areas. Concentrated livestock areas can be found on any land use. Producers utilize these areas for feeding, watering, exercise, resting, breeding, rearing, handling, and other production purposes. Shaded, near-stream, and other areas where animals naturally congregate and loaf fit this category.

Unplanned livestock activity on these areas can create resource concerns and worsen existing problems. Some typical problems include:

- soil compaction
- increased runoff
- nutrient concentration,
- transport of contaminated sediments or dissolved nutrients to sensitive areas
- unacceptable air emissions
- degraded plant resources

In addition, every agricultural operation in the state is obligated to manage these areas according to a written plan in compliance with Pennsylvania regulatory authority.

The purpose of this conservation planning and regulatory compliance guidance document is to help planners identify these areas, the problems they create, and to develop alternatives that are appropriate for customers’ objectives, management ability, and economic means. When decisions are made to address these areas, planners will offer appropriate technical and/or financial assistance to implement the changes needed.

Key Terms:
Terminology used by state and federal agencies can be confusing. Different terms may be used to describe the same or similar conditions. For example, the terms Animal Concentration Area (ACA) and Animal Heavy Use Area (AHUA) both refer to land areas used by livestock where neither vegetation nor post-harvest residues are sustained in the normal growing season. This guidance document refers to these areas as concentrated livestock areas. A concentrated livestock area may be evaluated and found to have no problems or, when problems are found, treated by a variety of alternative solutions suggested in this document. The Glossary of Key Terms at the end of this document defines commonly used and easily confused terms applicable to managing concentrated livestock areas.

Planning Procedure:
Resource Inventory and Analysis

A Resource Inventory and Analysis (steps 3 and 4 of the planning process), must be done to evaluate all applicable resource concerns and to develop feasible alternatives for areas with identified problems. Guidance for completing the Resource Inventory and Analysis is found in Exhibits 1-4 of this document and in the “CNMP Engineering Inventory Worksheet,” located in Section III of the PA Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG).
• Exhibit 1 – Resource Evaluation Procedure for Concentrated Livestock Areas, provides step-by-step guidance for determining if problems exist for a spectrum of concentration areas presented in four main scenarios.

• Exhibit 2 – Guidance for In-Field Evaluation of Concentrated Livestock Areas, provides guidance for evaluating poorly or non-vegetated areas and offers simple alternatives to treat identified problems. The alternatives offered may solve a problem and not necessitate the implementation of a conservation practice. For operations that are not required to have a CNMP meeting regulatory requirements may be found here.

• Exhibit 3 – CNMP Planning Considerations for Evaluating Concentrated Livestock Areas (ACAs) is adapted from the Pennsylvania Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual and provides considerations for evaluating planning these areas to meet CNMP planning criteria.

• Exhibit 4 – P-Index Ratings and Pasture Management Guidance adapted from the Pennsylvania Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual provides management recommendations to improve the condition of pasture vegetation along the edge of receiving water. Attention to management in this area can lower the P-Index Ratings and provide nutrient management flexibility by mitigating risk associated with the pasture/receiving water interface.

Resource Inventory and Analysis documentation must be completed as part of the conservation plan and included in the case file. This documentation can include, but is not limited to, the “CNMP Engineering Inventory Worksheet,” the engineer’s trip report, RUSLE2, a nutrient management plan, the PA NRCS 528 Grazing Job Sheet, etc.

The Resource Inventory and Analysis must be documented by an individual with appropriate experience and/or job approval authority, i.e., sufficient planning experience for the non-engineering practices and or Engineering Job Approval Authority for completing an Inventory and Evaluation (I&E) of engineering practices. For complex areas with multiple resource problems, assistance should be requested from a specialist, an individual with higher job approval authority, or a more experienced planner. Projects with roof alternatives must include assistance from the Technical Center staff.

A Resource Inventory and Analysis more than 1 year old should be reviewed to verify that it still reflects the agricultural operation and management style. If operational or management changes are identified, the Resource Inventory and Analysis must be reviewed and revised to reflect the new conditions. Following the Resource Inventory and Analysis, technical and financial assistance can only be provided for practices documented in the conservation plan.

The customer will be involved with the Resource Inventory by identifying the current land use and management system, and by identifying their goals and objectives for the area (rotational grazing system, exercise area, feeding area, etc.).

During the Resource Inventory and Analysis, identify all existing practices and proposed alternatives, including but not limited to:

• Prescribed Grazing (528)
• Heavy Use Area Protection (561)
• Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)
• Vegetated Treatment Area (635)
• Waste Storage Facility (313)
• Trails and Walkways (568)
• Stream Crossing (578)
• Roof Runoff Structure (558)
• Diversion (362)
• Structure for Water Control (587)
• Constructed Wetland (656)
• and all applicable component practices
Use of Planning Criteria:

During the Resource Inventory and Analysis and the Formulate Alternatives steps of the planning process, follow the criteria listed in the following Planning Criteria section. Typical resource concerns are described and minimum regulatory requirements are presented. Criteria are presented for Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs) and non-AFOs alike. Guidance is also provided for sizing heavy use areas, determining when roofs are appropriate, and identifying appropriate surface materials for concentrated livestock areas.

Planning Criteria:

Typical Resource Concerns

Concentrating livestock in an area may cause problems for a number of common resource concerns.

• Soil quality may become degraded resulting in on-site erosion or increased overland surface water flow and sediment transport. Increased overland surface water flow increases the risk of down-slope erosion and the sedimentation of receiving water bodies.

• Even without overland sediment transport, dissolved nutrients may be carried in the surface water runoff and increase the risk of degrading the quality of the receiving water bodies. Dissolved nutrients may also leach through the soil and either degrade ground water quality or be transported to surface water bodies through underground water flow paths.

• Excess nutrient and/or pathogen accumulation can lead to degraded plant conditions such as poor vegetative cover or an increase in undesirable vegetation.

• An increase in mastitis, hoof rot, calving problems, or poor body condition score can be signs of poor domestic livestock health caused by nutrient and/or pathogen accumulation in areas of animal concentration.

• Accumulation of manure deposition increases the risk of unacceptable emissions of air pollutants such as excess ammonia. Manure accumulation may also degrade plant and soil condition resulting in the release of excess carbon dioxide.

• Threatened and endangered species and/or the regeneration of forests or native plant communities may also be negatively impacted.

Water Quality Resource Concern

Due to the recent increase of regulatory requirements, the priority of this planning document is to address the water quality concern.

The water quality risk of a concentrated livestock area is directly related to its proximity to surface water bodies. Proximity to groundwater or sensitive areas, including poorly drained or excessively well-drained soils, pose similar risks. Limiting livestock access during wet conditions, providing drainage and/or an impervious base or surface material, installing stabilized crossing areas, relocating concentrated livestock areas to appropriate locations, limiting livestock access to the area, and/or installing wastewater or manure collection systems may adequately treat these concerns.

Minimum Regulatory Requirements for all Concentrated Livestock Areas

To meet minimum PA regulations, concentrated livestock areas must be managed to meet conditions on the following list. Use Exhibit 2 as a field reference when evaluating concentrated livestock areas to determine if the following requirements are met:

1. Manage concentrated livestock areas
to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation.

2. Divert clean water flow from upslope areas including fields and pastures, drainage ways, concentrated flow paths, driveways, barn roofs, etc., away from the concentrated livestock area.

3. Direct polluted runoff or allow it to flow from the concentrated livestock area to prevent direct runoff connectivity to sensitive areas (surface water bodies or ground water inlets). Runoff may be directed into a storage facility or best management practice such as a correctly sized and well maintained vegetative filter strip.

4. Limit animal access to surface waters to only properly implemented livestock crossings. Animals may not have free access to streams adjacent to or within concentrated livestock areas.

5. Minimize the size of denuded areas.

6. Keep areas where animals concentrate, such as feed racks and shade, as far away from a water body as practical.

7. Where appropriate, include relocation of movable structures that create concentrated livestock areas, such as hay rings, at least annually where practical to minimize development of denuded area and manure concentration.

8. Remove accumulated manure from concentrated livestock areas routinely, which may be generally four times a year, to minimize the potential for pollution discharges.

**Concentrated Livestock Areas and Pastures on AFOs**

A CNMP is required when NRCS provides technical or financial assistance to an AFO to address manure or wastewater handling, storage, or field application. All CNMPs require an Act 38-equivalent (content and format) nutrient management element. The Pennsylvania Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual is the definitive reference for planning pastures and concentrated livestock areas on AFOs. CNMP planning requires that all concentrated livestock areas must be addressed in a manner that eliminates the direct discharge of runoff from these areas from entering water. As a reference, Exhibit 3, “CNMP Planning Considerations for Evaluating Concentrated Livestock Areas (ACAs)” adapted from the PA Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual, is provided. CNMP planning requires P-Index risk assessment on all pastures. For each pasture, P-Index ratings determine maximum allowable nutrient application rates, including manure and fertilizer mechanically applied and direct deposits by grazing animals. Exhibit 4 summarizes pasture management guidance for different P-Index ratings.

**Concentrated Livestock Areas on Headquarters and Access to Pastures**

When livestock are completely confined to the headquarters, it is possible to treat a concentrated livestock area with Heavy Use Area Protection (561), a Waste Storage Facility (313), or Vegetated Treatment Area (635). These, along with other supporting practices, are commonly used to treat concentrated livestock areas that occur in the limited acreage near animal housing, feeding, or other production facilities.

However, many operations frequently move livestock between the headquarters and pasture. This management system can create additional concentrated livestock areas along travel lanes and gates as the livestock move between facilities.
between land units or in pasture if improperly managed. By considering the headquarters/pasture interface during the initial planning stages it is possible to minimize or eliminate these concentrated livestock areas through the proper location of the 561, by installing an Animal Trail and Walkway (575), by considering livestock habits when installing Fence (382), or by implementing Prescribed Grazing (528). When evaluating and developing alternatives for the headquarters, consider the effect the proposed alternatives and resulting management will have on the pasture and vice versa. These areas are generally contiguous and it is important to keep in mind that management of one will affect the other.

Concentrated Livestock Areas on Pasture

Pasture is improved land, producing introduced or domesticated native forage species, managed to enhance forage quality and yields, and is harvested primarily by grazing livestock. Concentrated livestock areas are frequently located within pastures.

Pasture land must meet one of the following two criteria:

1. Have a grazing plan that meets at a minimum the criteria of the NRCS Prescribed Grazing (528) Standard and Specification for the purpose “To Improve or Maintain Surface and/or subsurface Water Quality and Quantity.”

- OR -

2. Be managed to maintain dense forage species throughout the grazing season. Dense vegetation means minimal denuded spots and an average vegetation height across the pasture maintained to at least 3 inches in height.

When the field unit does not meet either of the above criteria, the field cannot be considered as the pasture land use. The management of the field must either be changed to meet one of the criteria, or the field must be planned as headquarters with appropriate practices planned to treat existing problems. When an area within pasture land does
not meet either of the above criteria, the area must be managed as a concentrated livestock area. Exhibit 1 can be used to determine the severity of problems caused by the concentrated livestock area located within pasture land. Management requirements for concentrated livestock areas in pasture must be addressed in the Grazing Contingency Plan portion of a (528) Prescribed Grazing Plan.

Winter Seasonal Concentrated Livestock Areas on Pasture

In addition to Exhibit 1, when a concentrated livestock area within a pasture is ever used in the winter* and vegetated in the summer, the following additional requirements apply to the area:

• Slope of site may not exceed 8%
• Site must be soil tested at least once every 3 years to verify that P ≤ 200 ppm.
• For sites with a soil test P ≥ 200 ppm, the concentrated livestock area must be rotated annually and used no more than once every 4 years
• Accumulated manure and feed must be removed from the area after each use and vegetation established for the next growing season
• Be located at least 100 feet away from an above ground inlet to an agricultural drainage system (such as inlet pipes to piped outlet terraces) if surface water flow is toward the above ground inlet.

*For purposes of this guidance, winter includes any one of the following:

• December 15 through February 28;
  - or -
• Anytime the ground is frozen at least 4 inches;
  - or -
• Anytime the ground is snow covered.

Space and Sizing

Plan to provide adequate space when designing concentrated livestock areas. Sizing depends on:

• The type, age, and size of livestock
• The intended use of the space
• The frequency and duration the livestock will be in the space
• The availability of feed and water in the area or accessibility to feed and water in the barn or elsewhere
• The surface material on which the livestock will be confined.

In addition, space must be provided for equipment access, traffic flow, feeding bunks, manure scraping maneuverability, and management and use of associated practices.

Exhibit 5 provides appropriate square footage for heavy use areas on paved and unpaved surfaces for various types of livestock. The square footage amounts allow for basic space requirements and can be adjusted to account for the factors described above. The square footage amounts do not include animal housing, and are assumed to be in addition to production practices like housing or shelter.

In all cases, the area to be treated should be minimized to limit the amount of stormwater captured and treated on the site.

Roofs and Covers

If a roof is planned for anything other than an Agrichemical Handling Facility (309), Waste Storage Facility (313) for stackable manure, or an Animal Mortality Facility (316), it must be compared to all other technically feasible alternatives. If there are no technically feasible alternatives, a description of the site specific conditions prohibiting all non-roofed alternatives must be documented in the case file for projects receiving financial assistance. In some cases, locations adjacent to sensitive areas and/or permit requirements may justify a roof.

Surface Materials

A combination of surface materials and pro-rated sizes can be used within concentrated livestock areas to transition from more intensely used areas found around feed and water sources to less intense exercise and loafing areas. For more information about surface material options, refer
to the PA NRCS Heavy Use Area Protection (561) or Animal Trail and Walkways (575) Standards and Specifications.

Seasonal concentrated livestock areas in pasture systems, such as winter feeding areas, are identified in the contingency plan developed for Prescribed Grazing (528). If the area becomes unstable, permanent, and/or requires surface improvement follow the criteria identified in 561.

**Developing Alternatives:**

When developing alternatives the following must be considered: customer’s goals and objectives, regulatory requirements, location of sensitive areas, animal type(s) and numbers, livestock management system, available land and/or farmstead layout, soil properties, additional equipment needs, landowner’s capability to manage the practice (including O&M) for the practice lifespan, and cost. Only alternatives that are technically feasible and suited to the customer’s management ability are offered.

**Decision Making and NRCS Assistance (TA and FA):**

The selected (or preferred) alternative, and all associated practices, must be documented as the customer’s decision in the conservation plan. These decisions may be impacted by farm economics and/or funding availability. When financial assistance is requested, program requirements must be considered. Refer to the current year program guidance for financial assistance guidance.

Often, conservation practices benefit both resource conservation and the productivity of the operation. However, items that are solely related to production are not eligible for NRCS financial assistance. Examples of ineligible items may include animal housing, equipment, operation expansion, etc.

A site specific Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plan explaining the performance expectations and necessary actions to assure the function of the practice(s) for the lifespan must be reviewed with and signed by the landowner. The O&M plan must include a provision that no new barnyard or concentrated livestock area be established outside the improved area identified in the conservation plan document and/or grazing contingency plan. Any expansion or relocation of the system must be implemented to the same degree of environmental protection.
Glossary of Key Terms:

This glossary defines terms that are associated with concentrated livestock areas. While this may not be a complete list of terms associated with concentrated livestock areas, the terms and definitions provided below are some of the most common. The terms listed below are used by NRCS, state, and/or federal regulation.

**Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) –** as defined by the Unified National Strategy for Animal Feeding Operations, USDA EPA 1999:

An AFO is a lot or facility where the following conditions are met:

- Animals have been, are, or will be stabled or confined and fed or maintained for a total of 45 days or more in a 12-month period, AND
- Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues are not sustained in the normal growing season over any portion of the lot or facility.
- AFOs are agricultural operations where livestock are kept and raised in confined situations. AFOs generally congregate livestock, feed, manure, dead animals, and production operations on a small land area. Feed is brought to the livestock rather than the livestock grazing or otherwise seeking feed in pastures.

**Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) -** AFOs that meet the regulatory definition of a CAFO may be regulated under the EPA NPDES permitting program. EPA counts the actual number of animals at the operation to define a CAFO. For example, an operation confining at least 700 mature dairy cattle is regulated as a CAFO and requires Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) permitting. Operations with multiple animal groups are considered an Animal Feeding Operation if any one group meets the criteria.

The PA DEP has delegated authority to administer EPA’s NPDES permit regulations program in this Commonwealth.

**Concentrated Animal Operations (CAO) -** are defined as agricultural operations where the animal density of all livestock on the farm exceeds two animal equivalent units* (AEUs) per acre on an annualized basis (PA Act 38, 2006).

*An AEU equals 1,000 pounds of live weight of an animal or group of animals.

**Animal Concentration Areas (ACA) -** are barnyards, feedlots, loafing areas, exercise lots or other similar animal confinement areas that will not maintain a growing crop, or where deposited manure nitrogen is in excess of crop needs. ACAs are not areas managed as pasture or other cropland, nor are they pasture access ways, if they do not cause direct flow of nutrients to surface or groundwater (PA Act 38, 2006).

**Animal Heavy Use Areas (AHUA) -** are barnyards, feedlots, loafing areas, exercise lots or other similar areas on an agricultural operation where, due to the concentration of animals, it is not possible to establish and maintain vegetative cover of a density capable of minimizing accelerated erosion and sedimentation by usual planting methods. An AHUA does not include entrances, pathways and walkways between areas where animals are housed or kept in concentration (PA Clean Streams Law, Erosion and Sedimentation Control Requirements, Chapter 102.4(a)).

**Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) -** are conservation plans for AFOs that:

(1) Must include the following:

(i) The production area, including the animal confinement, feed and other raw materials storage areas, animal mortality facilities, and the manure handling containment or storage areas.

(ii) The land treatment area, including any land under control of the AFO owner or operator, whether it is owned, rented, or leased, and to which manure or process wastewater is, or might be, applied for crop, hay, pasture production, or other uses.
(2) Meets NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) Section III quality criteria for water quality (nutrients, organics, and sediments in surface and groundwater) and soil erosion (sheet and rill, wind, ephemeral gully, classic gully, and irrigation-induced natural resource concerns on the production area and land treatment area).

(3) Mitigates, if feasible, any excessive air emissions and/or negative impacts to air quality resource concerns that may result from practices identified in the CNMP or from existing on-farm areas/activities.

(4) Complies with Federal, State, Tribal, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements; and Satisfies the owner/operator’s production objectives.
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Exhibit 1 -
Resource Evaluation Procedure for Concentrated Livestock Areas

This evaluation guide limits the spectrum of concentration areas to four main scenarios, identifies soil and water quality problems for each scenario, and offers potential alternatives for identified problems. The evaluation guide is applicable to all land uses to which livestock have access.

The criteria for each scenario is presented through a series of “if, then” statements. Each scenario evaluates a given situation for soil erosion and water quality problems. All criteria must be met in order for the scenario to apply to the field situation. If all criteria are not met, move on to the next scenario.

With the exception of Scenario 1, the scenarios below are listed in order of worsening problem.

Scenario 1 – All land uses, permanent feeding area – CAFO, CAO, AFO – CNMP required

If the operation is a CAFO, CAO, or AFO by definition then a CNMP is required to be developed and signed in order for NRCS assistance to continue. Refer to Exhibits 3 and 4 for evaluating concentrated livestock areas and Pastures that are part of AFOs as well as NRCS policy and procedures and respective regulatory requirements providing CNMP assistance.

“A CNMP is required when providing technical or financial assistance to an AFO or CAFO to address manure or wastewater handling and storage/treatment and/ or when providing technical or financial assistance for nutrient management that involves the application of manure and wastewater. Once developed, the CNMP will be signed by the producer before the installation of any waste storage/handling facilities and nutrient management activities identified in the CNMP are initiated.” NRCS General Manual 190_405_B.

If the operation is not a CAFO, CAO, or AFO by definition, continue to Scenarios 2 – 4.

Scenario 2 – Non AFO Pasture, no permanent feeding area – access limited to grazing season

The pasture is well managed and covered with desirable vegetation (perennial species) and the only denuded areas are minor in size and are located around watering troughs, gates, mineral supplements, shade areas, etc.

If livestock access to the pasture is limited to the grazing season

AND

If the Pasture Stocking Rate is ≤1 AU/Acre*

AND

If plant nutrients are NOT mechanically applied

AND

If the denuded area is dry, shows no visible signs of erosion or poor drainage

AND

If the denuded area is not located within 35 feet of a surface water body source (stream, water way, pond, road ditch, sinkhole, etc.), -OR- If the denuded area is within 35 feet of stream and is managed with an existing Stream Crossing (574) and stabilized Animal Trail and Walkway (575)

THEN

The pasture can continue to be managed as is NO EROSION, SEDIMENT OR NUTRIENT PROBLEMS. Use the PA NRCS Grazing Tool to determine adequacy of forage supply for grazing.

*Note – If livestock access to the pasture is limited to the grazing season but the Pasture Stocking Rate is >1 AU/Acre, move on to Scenario 3.
Scenario 3 – Non-AFO Pasture, no permanent feeding area – livestock have year-round access

The area is well managed and covered with desirable vegetation (perennial species) and the only denuded areas are minor in size and located around watering troughs, gates, mineral supplements, shade areas, etc.

1. If livestock have access to the pasture throughout the year
   AND/OR
   Pasture Stocking Rate is more than 1 AU/Acre
   AND/OR
   Plant nutrients are mechanically applied
   THEN
   Evaluate the risk for nutrient problems using the assessment procedures required by Nutrient Management (590)

2. If the denuded area is wet, shows signs of erosion or poor drainage
   OR
   If the denuded area is less than 35 feet from a surface water body source (stream, waterway, pond, road ditch, sinkhole, etc.)
   OR
   If the denuded area is within 35 feet of stream and is managed with an existing Stream Crossing and stabilized Animal Trail and Walkway
   THEN
   Alternatives need to be developed to address the problem. Alternatives may include: establish permanent vegetative cover, relocate water troughs or mineral supplement location, stabilize the area around the gate or water trough, build fence and establish a permanent vegetative buffer to exclude the livestock from the area adjacent to the water body.

Scenario 4 – All land uses, poorly or non-vegetated areas on non AFOs

Use this scenario if evaluating a permanent feeding/loafing/exercise area (ACA/AHUA) on a non AFO.

Use this scenario for all situations not meeting the criteria of the previous scenarios.

The area does not sustain vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues during the normal growing season. (This section includes paved or unpaved areas.)

Complete evaluation of area using Exhibit 2 – Guidance for In-Field Evaluation of Concentrated Livestock Areas

Have all desired conditions been met?

YES ⇒ No Problem, STOP

NO ⇒ Problem exists, develop alternatives to solve the problem. Refer to Exhibit 2, Table 1 for some suggested simple management solutions.

Now that alternatives have been developed, is the customer “ready, willing, and able” to resolve the problems identified in Exhibit 2?

YES ⇒ It is unlikely that the customer will run into regulatory compliance issues, BUT the area does not meet NRCS practice standard requirements.
   ** Do not report practices as planned or applied.

YES ⇒ It is unlikely that the customer will run into regulatory compliance issues AND the area does meet NRCS practice standard requirements. Report applicable practices as planned after conservation plan is agreed to and approved.

NO ⇒ The problems will continue to exist and the customer may be found out of compliance with state and/or federal regulations. The area does not meet NRCS Quality Criteria or NRCS practice standard requirements. Do not report practices as planned or applied. Advise the customer they may be in violation of one or more state or federal regulations.
Concentrated livestock areas (also known as ACAs or AHUAs) are barnyards, feedlots, loafing areas, exercise lots or other similar areas that will not maintain dense vegetation. Concentrated livestock areas can be found on any land use and all must be assessed regardless of their location. Livestock access ways, feeding areas, watering areas, shade areas or walkways are also considered to be concentrated livestock areas when manure or sediment contaminated runoff connects with and reaches a surface water body source (stream, waterway, pond, road ditch, sinkhole, etc.)

Farms with one or more concentrated livestock areas must have written plans that, at minimum, identify conservation practices and/or other Best Management Practices (BMPs) currently implemented to prevent pollution. The written plan must treat identified water quality resource problems. In order to obtain financial assistance, the written plan must include a schedule for implementing needed conservation practices or BMPs.

Concentrated livestock areas must be managed to:

1. Manage concentrated livestock areas to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation.
2. Divert clean water flow from upslope areas including fields and pastures, drainage ways, concentrated flow paths, driveways, barn roofs, etc., away from the concentrated livestock area.
3. Direct polluted runoff or allow it to flow from the concentrated livestock area to prevent direct runoff connectivity to sensitive areas (surface water bodies or ground water inlets). Runoff may be directed into a storage facility or BMP such as a correctly sized and well maintained vegetative filter strip.
4. Limit animal access to surface waters to only properly implemented livestock crossings. Animals may not have free access to streams adjacent to or within concentrated livestock areas.
5. Minimize the size of denuded areas.
6. Keep areas where animals concentrate, such as feed racks and shade, as far away from a water body as practical.
7. Where appropriate, include relocation of movable structures that create concentrated livestock areas, such as hay rings, at least annually where practical to minimize development of denuded area and manure concentration.
8. Routinely, generally four times per year, remove accumulated manure from concentrated livestock areas to minimize the potential for pollution discharges.

Table 1 provides guidance for in-field evaluation of concentrated livestock areas and provides simple management and BMP solutions to address problems where the desired condition is not met and practices are not warranted or feasible due to cost, location, or management level.
### Exhibit 2, Table 1 - Guidance for In-Field Evaluation of Concentrated Livestock Areas

Desired conditions for concentrated livestock areas are stated in the left column. When the desired condition is not present on site, consider simple BMP alternative solutions provided on the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desired Condition</th>
<th>Simple BMP Alternative Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congregation areas at gates are stable, minimal offsite flow thru them</td>
<td>Add stabilizing material. Redirect runoff away from area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal trail appropriate width and stabilized</td>
<td>Re-fence if trail too wide. Stabilize with appropriate material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No significant off-site surface water going thru site</td>
<td>Redirect offsite water. If necessary install diversion above site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff from buildings is collected and diverted around or piped under site</td>
<td>Install roof gutters and outlet or other methods to keep clean water clean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site stable, not rutted, no depressions, mud less than 6” deep</td>
<td>Site can be graded and/or bring in fill to establish positive slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved area curbed and runoff directed to storage or a vegetated treatment area</td>
<td>Add curbing or pavement and direct runoff to storage or vegetated treatment area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding areas should have no manure accumulation</td>
<td>Clean up area at least two times a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved area located 150’ away from streams</td>
<td>Move feeding areas away from stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense vegetation below livestock concentration area is at least 3X upslope length</td>
<td>Move livestock concentration area up slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation below livestock concentration area has 3 inch growth and minimal denuded spots</td>
<td>Livestock access to be limited to avoid overgrazing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site slope &lt; 8%</td>
<td>Move area to a site that is &lt;8%, 2-5% preferred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Located outside of natural or constructed drainageway</td>
<td>Move to a flatter location distant from a stream or well or eliminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No visible gullies on site</td>
<td>Eliminate cause of gully and shorten the length of slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 12” of soil cover over any rock areas</td>
<td>Fence out area that lacks adequate soil cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry site</td>
<td>Fence off wet areas or eliminate livestock concentration area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any potential runoff flows into a vegetated area or runoff collected and properly stored or treated</td>
<td>Runoff not going into vegetated area eliminated or redirected to filter area or collected and properly stored or treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff does not concentrate to one or more locations</td>
<td>Grade surface to redistribute runoff uniformly across lower edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated runoff does not have direct channel to waters of the PA</td>
<td>Redirect or redistribute runoff uniformly across lower edge and establish a filter area below the livestock concentration area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area is 150’ away from any water well, spring, wetlands</td>
<td>Establish a filter area below the livestock concentration area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock access to stream is stable, with narrow access</td>
<td>Fence out stream and allow access only at stable crossing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit 3 - CNMP Planning Considerations for Evaluating Concentrated Livestock Areas

(Note: The regulatory term ACA is used in this exhibit adapted from the PA Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual. An ACA is a concentrated livestock area.)

In general, the evaluation of the adequacy of ACA practices and conditions should consider the ability of the current practices and management to keep clean water clean and to collect, handle and treat contaminated runoff water before discharging into surface water or groundwater. Following are some factors to consider as part of the evaluation:

Site Characteristics
- Topography in and around the ACA
- Soil type in the ACA
- Soil cover or surfacing of the ACA
- Contributing drainage area up-slope of the ACA
- Roof runoff management
- Down slope of the ACA (impacted or buffered)
- Runoff controls or containment within the ACA
- Practices and facilities used to address runoff

Management and condition of the ACA
- Accumulation of manure on the ACA
- Standing water or muddy conditions
- Gullies or irregular surface
- Stocking rate (ft²/head)

Climatic Conditions
- 25-year, 24-hour storm event.

The following practices and conditions related to each identified ACA or “potential ACA” must be evaluated:

Location and Sizing
ACAs must be located and sized appropriately to minimize the impact on surface water and groundwater. These areas should meet the appropriate criteria set forth in PA Technical Guide Standard 561, “Heavy Use Area Protection,” Standard 635, “Vegetative Treatment Area,” Standard 393, “Filter Strip,” and others.

Manure Collection
Collection of accumulated manure for land application or export from the operation is required on all ACAs. It must be determined if manure collection is practical and feasible based on the condition of the ACA surface. In addition, it must be determined if the operator has the equipment needed to collect manure from the ACA. Finally, the operator must agree to remove accumulated manure. The frequency of this removal must be described in the planned management of the ACA.

Upslope and Roof Stormwater
Each ACA must be evaluated for evidence of uncontrolled flow of stormwater into or across the area. This is particularly critical of ACAs where there is stormwater runoff from the area. In general, the axiom “Keep Clean Water Clean” applies. This “clean water” includes up-slope and roof runoff water. The intent is to divert clean water away from or around the manure sources. This will minimize the amount of contaminated runoff that must be treated before reaching surface or ground waters.

Contaminated Runoff Water
Each ACA must be evaluated for the existence of direct runoff or discharge of contaminated, inadequately treated water into surface water or groundwater. These conditions, when identified, must be listed as inadequate manure management practices and conditions. When evaluating runoff from these areas, consider the adequacy of storage or treatment facilities, downslope filter areas to control and treat the flow of contaminated runoff water before discharging into surface water or groundwater.

Animal Access To Streams
Animal access to surface water in animal concentration areas must be limited to properly installed stream crossings as needed for livestock and equipment.

(Adapted from PA Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual, June 2011)
### P-Index Ratings Impacts on Pasture Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P-Index Value</th>
<th>P-Index Rating</th>
<th>Pasture Management Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 80</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Nutrients can be applied to meet the Nitrogen crop requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to &lt; 100</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Nutrients can be applied to meet the phosphorus crop removal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 100 or higher | Very High     | 1. Grazing may not be conducted within 50 feet of a perennial or intermittent stream, a lake or a pond.  
2. A prescribed grazing system shall be used to maintain an established stand of forage on the pasture area.  
3. The stocking rate shall be limited to ensure that the level of phosphorus deposited by the animals does not exceed the level of phosphorus removal from the soil by vegetation in the pasture.  
4. BMPs contained in the Pennsylvania Technical Guide may be used to meet the requirements in paragraphs (1) and (2). Other BMPs shall be approved by the Commission. |

P-Index Ratings are sensitive to the condition of the pasture vegetation along the edge of the receiving water. Attention to management in this area can reduce water quality risk, lower the P-Index Rating and provide additional nutrient management flexibility. Pastures within 100' can lower the P-Index Rating by implementing the following management:

1. Duration, intensity, frequency and season of grazing in fields or CMUs adjacent to a stream, lake, pond or sinkhole will be planned and applied in such a manner that perennial vegetation and water quality are maintained or improved. The animal stocking rate and pasture usage practices called for in the nutrient management plan or associated grazing management plan, along with the restrictions outlined below, will provide this protection.

2. Fields with poor, somewhat poor, or very poor drainage characteristics shall have grazing limited on these areas during times of high water table.

3. Ground cover provided by perennial vegetation shall be maintained at a level of 80% or more to minimize soil erosion and nutrient runoff. Plants identified by PDA as noxious weeds must be eliminated and controlled in these areas. For a listing of noxious weeds refer to Pennsylvania’s Weed Control list ([http://plants.usda.gov/java/noxious?rptType=State&statefips=42]).

4. All animal concentration areas (such as feeding, watering or shade areas) within the pasture shall be addressed in such a manner as to eliminate the direct discharge of runoff from these areas from entering any adjacent waterbodies.

5. Livestock access to the 35-foot buffer area will be managed in such a way as to ensure at least an 80% vegetative cover at all times across the entire buffer area, other than on areas developed as stabilized stream crossings or stabilized watering areas. Maintaining an 80% vegetative cover across the 35-foot area can involve fencing off the 35-foot buffer area, establishing alternate off-stream water sources or watering systems, and/or establishing stabilized stream access for crossings or watering access for livestock. Other alternative management systems, structural practices or management techniques can be used to maintain the necessary 80% minimum vegetative cover throughout the 35 foot buffer area.

6. No manure may be mechanically applied within the 35 foot buffer area.

7. Criteria 1 thru 5 must be met and implemented at the time the animals are grazing the pasture.  
(Adapted from PA Nutrient Management Program Technical Manual, June 2011)
Exhibit 5 -
Size Requirements¹ for Heavy Use Areas by Animal Type and Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Weight (lbs.)</th>
<th>Dairy Lot – Square Footage per Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paved ² Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved ³ Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-400 lb</td>
<td>30-40 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-800 lb</td>
<td>40-50 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-1400 lb</td>
<td>60-75 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef Lot - Square Footage per Head</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Weight (lbs.)</td>
<td>Paved Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface (no mounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface (with mounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow/calf pair (1200 lb)</td>
<td>60-75 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 lb</td>
<td>40-50 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 lb</td>
<td>50-60 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-250 SF</td>
<td>400-500 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-400 SF</td>
<td>500-600 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550-650 SF</td>
<td>400-500 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-700 SF</td>
<td>500-600 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-45 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-45 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-45 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep Lot - Square Footage per Head</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Weight (lbs.)</td>
<td>Paved Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface (no mounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unpaved Surface (with mounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 -100 lb</td>
<td>10-20 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-150 lb</td>
<td>20-30 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-200 lb</td>
<td>30-45 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-100 SF</td>
<td>100-125 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-150 SF</td>
<td>125-150 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equine Lot - Square Footage per Head ⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Weight (lbs.)</td>
<td>Mare/foal pair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stone Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved Surface ⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400-600 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹ These size ranges do not supersede practice standard or specification criteria found in FOTG Section IV. The square footage recommendations are not the basis for financial assistance practice payment limitations. Refer to the current year program guidance for payment criteria or program limitations. When sizing Heavy Use Areas allow additional area around:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Feed bunks &amp; watering facilities – extend 6 feet from perimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Traffic lanes for equipment – if necessary, allow a 10 to 12 foot wide travel path in addition to square footage calculated according to animal numbers/weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>² &quot;Paved&quot; means any hard surface that does not compress (leave a hoof print) when walked on when dry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³ &quot;Unpaved&quot; is everything softer than paved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁴ A minimum of 1200 SF is required. This area can be increased according to the numbers above when there are more than 2 animals per turnout group. Increase square footage by area listed above for every animal over 2 in the turnout group. It is possible to have multiple turnout groups per area. Equine operations can limit the extent of improved exercise lots by sizing the area based on the largest group and not on the total animal numbers. Groups are rotated thru the area when it is not appropriate to have them on pasture.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Example – a turnout group of 5 mare/foal pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1200 + (3x600) = 3000 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁵ Improved surfaces can include shredded bark, shredded tires, earthen with top soil removed, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>